

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

FREDERICK LOUIS WARD,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL O'ROURKE, *et. al.*,

Defendants.

3:12-cv-00035-LRH-WGC

ORDER

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (#3¹) entered on April 30, 2012, recommending granting Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (#1) filed on January 18, 2012, and dismissing the complaint with prejudice. No objection to the Report and Recommendation has been filed. The action was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)B and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#3) entered on April 30, 2012, should be adopted and accepted.

¹Refers to court's docket number.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#3)
2 entered on April 30, 2012, is adopted and accepted, and Plaintiffs application for leave to proceed *in forma*
3 *pauperis* (#1) is GRANTED. The movant herein is permitted to maintain this action to conclusion without the
4 necessity of prepayment of fees or costs. However, this order does not extend to the issuance of subpoenas
5 at government expense.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall file the Complaint (doc. #1-2).

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED with prejudice**.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED this 6th day of July, 2012.

10 
11

12
13 LARRY R. HICKS
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26